

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

June 24, 2010

PATRICK C. MCHUGH, ESQ. 603.695.8572 PMCHUGH@DEVINEMILLIMET.COM



BY HAND DELIVERY

Debra A. Howland Executive Director & Secretary New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301

Re:

DT 10-137 Northern New England Telephone Operations LLC d/b/a FairPoint Communications-NNE Petition for Authority to Disconnect Service to Global NAPs, Inc.

Dear Ms. Howland:

Enclosed for inclusion in the record in the above-docketed proceeding is an Affidavit of Publication for the Order of Notice, which was published in the New Hampshire Union Leader on June 14, 2010.

Very truly yours,

Patrick C. McHugh

Patrick C. McHugh

PCM:kaa

Enclosure

cc: Electronic Service List

UNION LEADER

I herby certify that the attached notice was published in the New Hampshire Union leader and/or New Hampshire Sunday News, newspapers printed at Manchester, NH by the Union Leader Corp., on the following dates

Union Leader Corp.

State of New Hampshire Hillsborough County

on this 16 day of June, 2010.

Subscribed and sworn by the above said <u>Chris Rheaume</u>

HAMP SHITTING

Legal Notice

bits a

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DT 10-137 NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND
TELEPHONE OPERATIONS LLC O OCOMMUNICATIONS NNE

Petition for Authority to Disconnect
Service to Global NAPs, Inc.
ORDER OF NOTICE

On May 14, 2010, Northern New England Telephone Operations LLC d/b/a FairPoint Communications-NNE (Fair-Point) filed with the New Hampshire Pub-lic Utilities Commission (Commission) a motion for authority to disconnect service to Global NAPs, Inc. and any of its affili-ates (Global NAPs) that interconnect with FairPoint in the State of New Hampshire, effective June 22, 2010. In support of its motion, FairPoint states that call deins motion. Fairfoint states that call de-tail records show that from April 2008 through May 2010, inclusive and con-tinuing. Global NAPs has sent terminat-ing traffic to all exchanges served by Fair-Point in New Hampshire. FairPoint adds that its records establish that the traffic in question includes both intrastate and in question intuities for minds and interstate traffic minutes for which Global NAPs has failed to pay applicable charges under FairPoint's interstate and intrastate tariffs, charges that totaled approximately \$4,172,845 for traffic terminated mately \$4,172,845 for traffic terminated by Global NAPs in Fair Point, exchanges as of March-10, 2010. According to Fair-Point, it has attempted since April; 2008 to resolve this billing dispute with Global NAPs to no, avail. Excerpts from relevant tariffs and summartes of charges at issue were attached to Fair-Point's motion. On May, 25, 2010, Global NAPs filed its opposition to Fair-Point's motion and requested, proceeding that includes discopposition to Fair-Point's motion and requested proceeding that includes discopposition to fair-Point's motion and requested proceeding that includes discopposition to the support of the request, Global NAPs states that is has interconnection agreement (ICA) with Fair-Point that governs the seri-

(ICA) with FairPoint that governs the services at issue. According to Global NAPs, its traffic consists of nomadic VoIP traf-fic and is not subject to access charges under FairPoint's interstate or intrastate tariffs, but to the provisions of the ICA. Global NAPs further asserts that its traffinds a warrant in the assets that no contains the semiliprotect to a VoIP, rate of 0.00045 cents per intinute of use. Attached to its objection, Global NAPs provided select provisions of its ICA with FairPoint, exmall correspondence with FairPoint, and

mail correspondence with Fair out, and correspondence concerning a case involving similar issues in New York.

On May 27, 2010, TDS filed a letter in Docket No. DT 08-028 in support of Fair-Point's motion in this proceeding. In its letter, TDS requests that the Commission letter. (IDS requests that the Commission; grant FairPoint's motion without further hearing and, in the event the Commission is unable to promptly grant the FairPoint motion; that it direct FairPoint to cooperate with TDS in the prompt disconnection of Global NAPs from the TDS networks in

Octobal Marshine full 105 alcohols in New Hampshire pursuant to Commission Order, No. 25,043 (November 10, 2009). On June 4, 2010, FairPoint filed a mo-tion to strike Global NAPs May 25, 2010 opposition filing as non-responsive or, in the alternative, to deny the filing as irrely. evantiand an impermissible third request for rehearing. FairPoint further reiterates its request that the Commission approve its motion for authority to disconnect service to Global NAPs.

The Commission takes administrative notice that the FairPoint-Global NAPs nonce that the Pairtoni-clobal Art's ICA is, on file with the Commission as negotiated between Verizon NH and Global NAPs and filed on January 17, 2003, in Docket, No. 107 02-107. Global NAPs is hereby directed to file copies of the notice es it provided to FairPoint pursuant to the requirements of Sections 9.3 and 14.1 at pages 5-6 and 9 of the ICA concerning. the billed amounts it disputes in this proceeding and the specific details and programs, and the specime details and reasons for disputing each item. Global NAPs is also directed to provide evidence of payment of any undisputed charges FairPoint; has billed; and Global NAPs has paid in accordance with Section 9.3.

(The filings raise, inter, alla, issues re-lated to interpretation of the ICA between, FairPoint and Global NAPs, the nature of the traffic at issue, and the appropriate charges, if any, for the services rendered by FairPoint on behalf of Global NAPs. Each party has the right to be represented by an attorney at its own expense. Based upon the foregoing, it is here.

Based upon the foregoing, it is here by the same most each (iii) what probe (RRERED, what is brickening, Conference, pursuant to NH. Code Admin Rules Fair 203.15, to be held before the Commission located at 21.5° Fruit St. Suite 10.0° Concord, New Flampshire on June 30.2010 at 10.0° am, at which each party will provide a preliminary statements of its position with regard to the pertions and any of the dispersion for the pertions and any of the dispersion for the NH. Code Admin Rules Puc 203.15 shall be considered, and this pertion of the provide a by June 18.2010, content of the indicate provide and the party pursuant to the requirements of Sections 9.3 and 14 is of the ICA concerning the amounts in dispute and the specific details and reasons for disputing each item.

tails and reasons for disputing each item;

talls and reasons for disputing each literia and it is a second of the control of

or payment of any undisputed charges Fair Point has billed and Global NAPs has paid in accordance with Section 9.3 of the ICA: and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that immediately following the Prehearing Conference Fair Point. Global NAPs the Staff of the Commission and any Intervences fold a Technical Session to review the petition and allow Fair Point and Global NAPs. to provide any amendments of underse 10 their filings and 18.

FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to the filings and 18.

FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to NAPS of their filings and 18.

FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to NAPS of Advantage of the filings and 18.

FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to NAPS of Advantage of the filings and 18.

FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant of the filings of the fil